THE MULITPLE-RANDOM-ACCESS ALGORITHMS ANALYSIS BASED ON TREE PROPERTIES

G.S. Evseev, A.M. Turlikov. (Leningrad, USSR)

Abstract. The idealized model and different-slot-duration model of Random-Mulitple-Access noisy Channel are considered. The relations between rates for these models, obtained by method based on simple properties of trees are presented.

Introduction.

At first we consider the idealized model of Random-Mulitple-Access (RMA) system [1]. We use the following notations for the description of the collision resolution tree in the case of blocked non-modified algorithms: l - the branching coefficient, k - the number of conflicting users, n_k - the total number of the vertices in the tree, $n_k^{(e)}$, $n_k^{(s)}$ u $n_k^{(c)}$ - the number of the vertices, corresponding to the "empty" slots, to the "success" slots and to the "collision" slots respectively.

It is easy to prove, that for the considered tree it is true, that

$$n_{\mathbf{k}}^{(e)} + n_{\mathbf{k}}^{(s)} = (l-1)n_{\mathbf{k}}^{(c)} + 1,$$
 (1)

$$n_{k}^{(e)} + n_{k}^{(e)} + n_{k}^{(c)} = n_{k},$$
 (2)

$$n_{k}^{(s)}=k, \tag{3}$$

which results in

$$n_{\mathbf{k}}^{(o)} = (n_{\mathbf{k}} - 1)/l,$$
 (4)

$$n_{\mathbf{k}}^{(e)} = (l-1)(n_{\mathbf{k}}-1)/l + 1 - k$$
 (5)

Suppose that the lower and upper bounds for the rate R_n of the blocked-non-modified collision resolution algorithm are known. In what follows we use these bounds together with relatons (1-5) in order to obtain the rate of modified algorithm for the idealized model, the rate of non-modified algorithm for

the false-conflict model, and the rates of modified and non-modified algorithms for the different-slot-duration model. Our considerations are also based on the following formula:

$$\frac{\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{k}{T_k} < \hat{R} < \frac{\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{k}{T_k}}{T_k}, \qquad (6)$$

where T_k is the average resolution time for k-collision. For the sake of simplification we also suppose l=2.

The rate of the modified algorithm.

The collision resolution tree for the considered case is described by following values:

 $m_{\rm k}$ - the total number of the vertices in the tree.

 $m_k^{(e)}$, $m_k^{(e)}$ u $m_k^{(e)}$ - the number of the vertices, corresponding to the "empty" slots, to the "success" slots and to the "collision" slots respectively.

It is true that
$$m_{h}^{(s)} = n_{h}^{(s)} = k, \tag{7}$$

$$\mathbb{E}[n_{\mathbf{k}}^{(e)}] = \mathbb{E}[n_{\mathbf{k}}^{(e)}], \qquad (8)$$

$$E[n_k^{(c)}] = E[n_k^{(c)}] - E[n_k^{(e)}]/2, \quad (9)$$

where $\mathbf{E}[x]$ is the mean value of random variable x.

Using (9) together with (1-5) we obtaine the relation between the numbers of the vertices in the trees for modified and non-modified algorithms:

$$\mathbb{E}[m_{\mathbf{k}}] = (3 \mathbb{E}[n_{\mathbf{k}} \ 1 - 1)/4 + k/2 \ .$$
 (10)

Denote by Rn and Rm the rates of non-modified and modified algorithms. Using (6) together with (10) we see, that

$$R_{n} \approx (3 / (4 R_{n}) + 1/2)^{-1}$$
 (11)

Calculation of the rate for the noisy channel.

Let q_e,q_s be the probabilities of false collision detection in the "empty" and "success" slots respectively [2]. Let also false collisions be independent for the different slots. One can show that the values in (1)-(3) depend on q_e,q_s in the following way [3]:

$$n_{\mathbf{k}}^{(c)}(q_{\mathbf{e}}, q_{\mathbf{s}}) = n_{\mathbf{k}}^{(c)}(0, 0),$$
 (12)

$$n_{\mathbf{k}}^{(e)}(q_{e}, q_{s}) = n_{\mathbf{k}}^{(e)}(0, 0) \quad n_{0}(q_{e}, q_{s}), \quad (13)$$

$$n_{\mathbf{k}}^{(\mathbf{s})}(q_{\mathbf{e}}, q_{\mathbf{s}}) = n_{\mathbf{k}}^{(\mathbf{e})}(0, 0) \quad n_{1}(q_{\mathbf{e}}, q_{\mathbf{s}}), \quad (14)$$

where $n_{\mathbf{k}}^{(\,\cdot\,)}(q_{\mathbf{e}}^{},q_{\mathbf{s}}^{})$ is the number of the vertices of the tree for the given values q, q.

Summing up equations (12)-(14) with $q_e = q_e = q \le 1/2$ we obtain

 $T_{\mathbf{k}} = \mathbb{E}[n_{\mathbf{k}} (q_{\mathbf{e}}, q_{\mathbf{e}})] = \mathbb{E}[n_{\mathbf{k}} (0, 0)](1-q)/(1-2q) + q/(1-2q), (15)$ which results (using (6)) in

 $R(q_{n},q_{n}) \approx R(0,0)(1-2q)/(1-q)$.

One can prove that for 1>2 it holds that

 $R(q_e, q_s) \approx R(0,0)(1-l \cdot q)/(1-q)$.

Calculation of the rate for different-slot-duration channel.

Let τ_e, τ_s, τ_o be the durations of the "empty", "success" and "collision" slots respectively [4]. Then

the average resolution time T_k for k-collision is given by $T_k = \mathbb{E}\{\tau_e, n_k^{(e)} + \tau_e, n_k^{(e)} + \tau_c, n_k^{(e)}\}, \tag{16}$

$$T_{k} = \mathbb{E}\{\tau_{e} \cdot n_{k}^{(e)} + \tau_{s} \cdot n_{k}^{(e)} + \tau_{o} \cdot n_{k}^{(o)}\},$$
 (16)

 $R_n(\tau_e, \tau_s, \tau_o) \approx ((\tau_e + \tau_o)/(2R_n(1, 1, 1)) + (\tau_s - \tau_e))^{-1},$ (17) where $R_n(\tau_o,\tau_s,\tau_o)$ is the rate of the non-modified algorithm with given values of T, T, and T.

The following expression for the rate $R_m(\tau_o, \tau_s, \tau_o)$ of the modified algorithm is derived in similar manner

$$R_m(\tau_e,\tau_e,\tau_o) \approx ((\tau_e/2+\tau_o)/(2R_n(1,1,1))+(\tau_e-\tau_e+\tau_o/2))^{-1}.$$

Generalized result for the dynamic and part-and-try algorithms.

Denote the rates of the dynamic and part-and-try algorithms by $R_{dyn}(\tau,\tau_s)$ and $R_{part}(\tau,\tau_s)$ respectively for the case of $\tau=$ = tet. It can be proved that

$$R_{dyn}(\tau, \tau_s) = (\tau / R_{dyn}(1, 1) + (\tau_s - \tau))^{-1},$$

$$R_{part}(\tau, \tau_s) = (\tau / R_{part}(1, 1) + (\tau_s - \tau))^{-1}.$$

Conclusion.

The presented approach simplifies the analysis of tree

algorithms for the various channel models. The values of interest for these models are obtained from the same values for the idealized model in explicit form.

References

- 1. B.S. Tsybakov, V.A. Mikhailov. "Free synchronous packet access in a broadcast channel with feedback ", Prob. Inform. Trans., vol. 14, pp. 259 - 280, 1978.
- 2. G.S. Eyseev, N.G. Ermolaev. "The bounds on characteristics of collision resolution in the free accessing noisy channel.", Prob. Inform. Trans., vol. 18, N4, pp. 101 105, 1982.
- 3. C.S. Evseev, A.M. Turlikov. "The analysis of the rate for the some free-multiple-access algorithm resisted against noise", Prob. Inform. Trans., vol. 22, N2, pp. 104 109, 1986.
- 4. I. Kerekesh. Report on the 3-d International Workshop "Convolutional codes and multiple access channels". 1987, Sochy, USSR.